

Particle characterization and counting on a filter membrane

Introduction

It is specifically stated in USP 788 that particulate matter consists of mobile undissolved particles, other than gas bubbles, unintentionally present in the solutions. For this and other USP chapters, particulate counts are required and it is preferable, although not required, to be able to indicate whether the particles counted are of interest, such as protein aggregates or large API particles in inhaled products, or simply excipients, silicone droplets or other contaminant of little health concern.

This application note describes counting particles on a filter membrane by automated particle imaging and discusses a means of validating this particle counting method.

Method

Samples and Filtration

1 ml of a 2000 particles/ml counting standard (Thermo Scientific Ezy-cal lot 37088) was filtered using a nitro-cellulose filter membrane with 0.45 μ m pores. The nominal particle size is 10 μ m and is certified to have 2000 (± 10%) particles per ml > 7.5 μ m.

Instrumentation and Image Analysis

The Morphologi G3 was used to characterize the particle size and shape distributions as well as the particle count. The samples were analyzed using the 10X objective, which covers a nominal particle size range of 3.5 to 210 μ m. To match the certification of the standard, all particles with a Circle Equivalent (CE) diameter < 7.5 μ m were removed from the results using a software filter. The focus position was set equal to the filter surface and Z stacking was used to account for any warping of the filter.

Two separate filtrations of 1 ml of sample were prepared and each filter was analyzed 4 times to assess the instrument repeatability.

Results

Figure 1 shows an example of a field of view captured during image acquisition.

The glass spheres are isolated from the filter membrane background based on the light intensity reflected to the camera with episcopic illumination.

Figure 2 shows the size distribution of the population measured on the filters for the two samples. As expected, the size distributions overlay. Both distributions display small numbers of particles at ~16 and ~19 μ m. These correspond to doublet and triplet particles.



Figure 1: Example field of view image.

Due to the particle doublets and triplets, a raw particle count will not give the correct number of spheres on the filter since counting multiple particles as one particle will lead to an underestimation of the number of spheres present. A classification scheme was therefore used to place the particles into classes according to the number of spheres present. The particles were separated based on CE diameter into singlets (< 15.1 μ m), doublets (15.1 to 18 μ m), triplets (18 to 21 μ m), and multiplets (> 21 μ m).



Figure 2: Overlay of the CE diameter Size distributions of the population on the two filters.





Quantifying the number of particles in each class allows for a more accurate determination of the number of spheres present than a raw particle count. Classifying particles allows for a doublet particle to be counted as two spheres, a triplet as three spheres, and so on. This will lead to a much more accurate count that the raw particle count.

Table 1 shows the total number of particles detected along with the number of particles in each class for each repetition of the measurement of both filters. The last column indicates the number of individual spheres assuming the particles in the multiplets class contain an average of 5 spheres.

The certified particle count was $2000 \pm 10\%$ per ml, therefore the expected number of particles is 2000 ± 200 for each measurement. For both samples the particle count is within the expected count range and in both cases, the relative standard deviation of the repeated measurement of each sample is less than 1%.

Conclusions

The Morphologi G3 was successful in counting the number of spheres collected on a filter from a particle counting standard. In each case, the resulting particle count was within the expectations based on the certified particle count in the standard. Additionally, the relative standard deviation in the number spheres detected for repeated measurements on the same filter was less than 1% indicating excellent repeatability.

Table 1: Number of spherical particles in each class and total number of spheres for each measurement.

Sample	Total Particles	Sinalets	Doublets	Triplets	Multiplets	Spheres
	1940	1896	27	14	3	2007
1	1958	1917	26	14	1	2016
	1958	1914	27	14	3	2025
	1964	1917	32	14	1	2028
AV	1955					2019
RSD %	0.53					0.47
	1914	1840	30	28	16	2064
2	1923	1845	34	30	14	2073
	1929	1849	41	27	12	2072
	1914	1850	23	31	10	2039
AV	1920					2062
RSD %	0.38					0.77

Malvern Instruments Ltd

Enigma Business Park • Grovewood Road • Malvern • Worcestershire • UK • WR14 1XZ Tel: +44 (0)1684 892456 • Fax: +44 (0)1684 892789

Malvern Instruments Worldwide

Sales and service centers in over 50 countries for details visit www.malvern.com/contact

© Malvern Instruments Ltd 2010

more information at www.malvern.com

